UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,125	07/12/2006	Thomas Earle Goerke	19914-002US1	9342
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/14/201 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		KIM, WESLEY LEO		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/554,125	GOERKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		WESLEY L. KIM	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	dv 2010				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	<i>;</i> —					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>16,24-30 and 45-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 31-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,24-27,29,30,45-49,51 and 52</u> is/are rejected.					
•	∑ Claim(s) <u>28 and 50</u> is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
,—		'				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acc∈	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Claims 1-4, 6-15, and 31-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group (Group II), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/8/10.

This application contains claims 16, 24-30 and 45-52 are drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the reply filed on 7/8/10. A complete reply must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action.

Response to Arguments

- This Office Action is in response to the Amendments made on 3/11/10 and the Response to the Restriction filed on 7/8/10.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16, 24-30, and 45-52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 29-30 and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 29 and 51 recites the limitation "the static information comprises" in
 each respective claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation

Art Unit: 2617

in the claim. It almost seems like claim 29 should depend on claim 28 and claim 51 should depend on claim 50, but the examiner is unsure and is confused as to how the dependencies should really be.

- Claim 30 and 52 recites the limitation "the dynamic information comprises" in each respective claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It almost seems like claim 30 should depend on claim 28 or claim 29 and claim 52 should depend on claim 50 or claim 51, but the examiner is unsure and is confused as to how the dependencies should really be.
- Examination of the claims will be based upon the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claims 16, 24, 26, 45-46, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Karabinis et al (US 2004/0192200 A1).

Regarding Claims 16 and 45, Karabinis teaches a central station

(Par.64:lines 6-8 and Par.65, serving base station), allocating satellite network resources in a satellite communication system (Par.41-42 and Par.65) comprising remote stations coupled to the central station by a satellite network (Par.41-42 and Par.65, radio terminals are remote stations coupled to base station (i.e. central

Art Unit: 2617

station) by satellite network), wherein different remote stations are located in different geographic domains (Fig.1: 130w, 130y, 130a are all in different geographic domains (i.e. locations), that is they are under coverage of different base stations), the method comprising: establishing a list of information about available satellite network resources for one or more of the geographic domains (Par.64:lines 6-8, available channels list for one or more base station locations (i.e. geographic domains)); publishing the list of information for access by remote stations in the one or more geographic domains (Par.65, radioterminal can pick one of the available channels so the list is sent to (i.e. published) radioterminal); receiving, from a remote station, a notification indicating that at least some of the satellite network resources have been seized by the remote station (Par.65:lines 5-12); updating the list of information about available satellite network resources to reflect seizing by the remote station (Par.65); and communicating the updated list only to remote stations in the one or more geographic locations (Par.65).

Regarding Claims 24 and 46, Karabinis further teaches information about available satellite network resources comprises availability of channel capacity (Par.64-65, list of available channels is the availability of channel capacity).

Regarding Claims 26 and 48, Karabinis further teaches the list of information is published using one of CDMA and TDMA modulation (Par.67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/554,125

Art Unit: 2617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Page 5

9. Claims 25 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis et al (US 2004/0192200 A1) in further view of Chheda et al (US 6151512).

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding Claim 25 and 47, Karabinis teaches all the limitations as recited in Claim 16 and 45, however Karabinis does not expressly teach communicating an amended list of information about available network resources that reflects a change in the size of the at least one of the geographic domains.

Chheda teaches that it is well known in the art that a geographical domain (i.e. base station coverage area) may be adjusted (Col.2:lines 36-45, base station coverage area is sectorized) and as a result, the available channels per sector is increased (Col.2:lines 36-45). It is obvious that Karabinis's teaching of notification of an updated list of available resources would apply to the teachings of Chheda as can be seen above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Karabinis with Chheda at the time of the invention such that a remote station may be aware of all possible resources that it may utilize in order to provide the best possible quality of service.

10. Claims 27 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis et al (US 2004/0192200 A1).

Regarding Claims 27 and 49, Karabinis teaches that the system comprises said plurality of remote stations (Fig.1) coupled to a plurality of central stations (Fig.1, plural base stations); and although Karabinis does not expressly teach a

Art Unit: 2617

said remote station may transit between operation with one said central station to any other said central station for which the remote station can receive incoming communications for the central stations. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art that a mobile station can roam between different central stations and be handed off to receive incoming communications from another central station. This way communications reliability is maintained while roaming

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 28-30 and 50-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Further Claims 29-30 and 51-52 must overcome the 112 second paragraph rejections.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from

Art Unit: 2617

the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WESLEY L. KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-7867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 /Wesley L Kim/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617 Application/Control Number: 10/554,125

Page 8

Art Unit: 2617